Summary of consultation responses to the applications for Grove Park Neighbourhood Area and Forum

Rep ID	Categorisation	Summary
1.0	Categorication	Carrinary
		The boundary aligns with my understanding of the neighbourhood, and I agree with the purpose and
1	Support	objectives of the forum.
2	Comment	No comments.
3	Comment	No comments.
4	Objection	The boundary is too large. I live on Cumberland Place, which does not have a straightforward connection with the rest of the area. The railway line should be the boundary. Cumberland Place is more a part of Hither Green or Catford.
5	Comment	No comments. Bromley councillors have also been informed.
6	N/A	Representation number not used.
7	Support	Seems like a good plan. The fact that it adjoins the Corbett Estate has galvanised the community.
8	Comment	General advice regarding Natural England given, to be passed on to applicant.
9	Objection	Why has the boundary been chosen? Alternative boundary proposed.
10	Comment	Unsure of how the work of the Ward Assembly will link with the work of the Forum. The Ward Assembly currently works well. There may be a lack of checks and balance if the Forum can determine planning applications. I am not 100% comfortable with the Forum being controlled by the Grove Park Community Group as it is not as representative of the Ward as the Ward Assembly is.

11	Support	We are very positive towards the proposal.
12	Support	I would like to see this go ahead. The area should be enlarged to cover Verdant Lane. There should be more of an emphasis on crime prevention.
		The consultation had to be re-started due to map error. Whoever made the error should be sacked. I have reported problems with trees and antisocial behaviour, but nobody at the Council ever gets back to me.
		The forum sounds promising on paper, but how many man hours are wasted on this? I have no faith in the Council's ability to organise this.
13	Comment	I understand that I live in Lee, not Grove Park.
		I commend the plans.
14	Support	The applicant is doing a good job. The area is deteriorating, and the Council have no plans for the area.
15a&b	Support	Increased community involvement is a good thing. The area seems appropriate.
16	Support	I fully support the proposal. This is an important step for the community. The boundaries are sensible. I like the look of the plans.
17	Comment	General advice regarding Environment Agency given, to be passed on to applicant.
18	Support	Very pleased about the creation of the forum. Lewisham Council has neglected the area. Not enough trees planted, not enough road calming, too few parking places for new flats built.
19	Objection	The area is too large - it contains many different micro neighbourhoods with differing local issues.

20	Support	I agree with the boundary and the constitution. The map is hard to read.
21	Support	We agree with the proposal. The boundaries are correct.
22	Comment	Will this impact on our existing planning application?
23	Comment	We have a problem with fly tipping.
24	N/A	Representation number not used.
		The area is wrong - I do not consider myself to be in Grove Park.
		I'd like to know more information about the group and their plans. Based on the limited information
25	Objection	provided, I do not agree with the purposes and objectives.
		It is not clear that such a forum is necessary.
		There may be increased bureaucracy, and there may be costs involved.
26	Objection	I consider myself to live in Lee, not Grove Park.
		There is not enough information about the applicants and their intentions. Their views may be different
27	Comment	to mine.
28	N/A	Representation number not used.
		ap and an
29	Comment	General advice regarding Sport England given, to be passed on to applicant.
30	N/A	Representation number not used.
31	N/A	Representation number not used.

		The houndary is connect the programmes the various content that Crove Dayly residents consists with
		The boundary is correct. It encompasses the various assets that Grove Park residents associate with, including the Baring Hall Hotel and the shops on the far side of Baring Road.
32	Support	I agree with the purpose and objectives of the constitution.
33	N/A	Representation number not used.
34	Support	I agree with the boundary. I would like to be part of the forum.
35	N/A	Representation number not used.
36	Support	We support the applications. It will bring a greater sense of neighbourhood. There are areas of land that could be better used.
		I was unaware of how large the Ward is, but the area looks fine.
		I agree with the objectives and welcome the creation of the forum.
37	Support	What are the plans for the Marvels Lane Youth Club?
38	Ohiect	I do not wish to be part of the forum. I object
38	Object	I do not wish to be part of the forum. I object.

39	Comment	New development should not be high rise and unattractive. More health facilities require. Chinbrook Meadows should be protected against development unless a community centre is proposed. It is difficult for me to give time to join the forum due to my advancing age, however it should be ensured that young people are listened to.
40	Comment	No comments from English Heritage.